

1 EVAN R. CHESLER (*pro hac vice*)  
2 PETER T. BARBUR (*pro hac vice*)  
3 ELIZABETH L. GRAYER (*pro hac vice*)  
4 CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP  
5 825 Eighth Avenue  
6 New York, NY 10019  
7 Telephone: (212) 474-1000  
8 Facsimile: (212) 474-3700

9 WILLIAM S. BOGGS (Bar No. 053013)  
10 BRIAN A. FOSTER (Bar No. 110413)  
11 TIMOTHY S. BLACKFORD (Bar No. 190900)  
12 DLA PIPER US LLP  
13 401 B Street, Suite 1700  
14 San Diego, CA 92101-4297  
15 Telephone: (619) 699-2700  
16 Facsimile: (619) 699-2701

17 Attorneys for Defendant  
18 QUALCOMM INCORPORATED

19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

20 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

21 JESSE MEYER, an individual, on his own  
22 behalf and on behalf of all similarly  
23 situated,

24 Plaintiff,

25 v.

26 QUALCOMM INCORPORATED, a  
27 Delaware corporation,

28 Defendant.

Case No. 08cv0655-WQH (LSP)

**JOINT MOTION PURSUANT TO CIVIL  
LOCAL RULE 7.2 FOR EXTENSION OF  
TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO ANSWER,  
MOVE OR OTHERWISE REPLY**

Judge: Hon. William Q. Hayes

21 Defendant Qualcomm Incorporated (“Qualcomm”), in accordance with Civil Local Rules  
22 7.2 and 12.1, jointly moves the Court with Plaintiff Jesse Meyer (“Meyer”) to enter an Order  
23 extending Qualcomm’s time for filing its Answer, motion or other responsive pleading to  
24 Meyer’s Class Action Complaint (“Complaint”), to Monday, June 2, 2008. In support of this  
25 joint motion, Qualcomm states as follows:

26 1. Meyer filed the Complaint on Thursday, April 10, 2008, and subsequently served the  
27 Complaint on Qualcomm on Friday, April 11, 2008.

28 2. Qualcomm’s Answer is presently due on Thursday, May 1, 2008.

1 3. Qualcomm has not previously requested an extension of time in this case.

2 4. Meyer does not oppose the requested extension of time.

3 5. For the reasons set forth in Qualcomm's Letter to the Court, dated April 17, 2008, and  
4 Qualcomm's Notice of Related Case, dated April 17, 2008, Qualcomm plans to file a Motion to  
5 Transfer this case to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey on May 1,  
6 2008. This extension will allow Qualcomm sufficient opportunity to respond to the Complaint in  
7 accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 12.

8 6. This Court has the authority, "for good cause shown", to order an extension of time  
9 "with or without motion or notice". Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(A). Pursuant to the Local Rules of  
10 this Court:

11 "Extensions of time for answering, or moving to dismiss a  
12 complaint shall only be secured by obtaining the approval of a  
13 judicial officer, who shall base the decision on a showing of good  
cause."

14 Civil Local Rule 12.1; *see also Credon v. Taubman*, 8 F.R.D. 268 (N.D. Ohio 1947) ("Extensions  
15 always may be asked for, and usually are granted upon a showing of good cause, if timely  
16 made.").

17 WHEREFORE, for good cause shown, Qualcomm respectfully requests the Court to enter  
18 an Order extending the time for Qualcomm to answer, move or otherwise reply to June 2, 2008.

19 Dated: April 30, 2008

DLA PIPER US LLP

20 By /s/ William S. Boggs

21 william.boggs@dlapiper.com

22 CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP  
23 Evan R. Chesler  
24 Peter T. Barbur

25 Attorneys for Defendant  
26 QUALCOMM INCORPORATED

27 /////

28 /////

1 Dated: April 30, 2008

KAMBEREDELSON, LLC

2  
3 By /s/ Alan Himmelfarb

4 ahimmelfarb@kamberedelson.com

5 KAMBEREDELSON, LLC

Jay Edelson

Ethan Preston

6 ABBEY SPANIER RODD & ABRAMS, LLP

7 Karin E. Fisch

8 Orin Kurtz

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff

JESSE MEYER

10  
11 **Certification regarding signatures:** I hereby attest that all of the signatories to this document  
12 have approved its content.

13  
14 By: /s/ William S. Boggs